SECTION 1 – MAJOR APPLICATION

LIST NO: 1/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1498/03/CFU

LOCATION: Westfield House & Hillsdale. Westfield Park

APPLICANT: Howard, Fairbairm & Partners for Cosway Land & New Homes Ltd

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: Detached 3 Storey Building to Provide 12 Flats with Access

and Parking at the Rear

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the reasons and informatives reported and subject to a

further additional reason set out in the addendum report.

LIST NO: 1/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1610/03/CFU

LOCATION: Chandos Parade, Buckingham Road, Edgware

APPLICANT: Glen Robinson for Gleesk Property Co Ltd

PROPOSAL: Demolition of Existing Buildings and Redevelopment in form of a Detached

3 Storey Block to Provide 12 Flats with Access and Parking.

DECISION: WITHDRAWN by the applicant.

LIST NO: 1/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1607/03/CFU

LOCATION: 286-288 High Road, Harrow Weald

APPLICANT: Gillett Macleod Partnership for W E Black Ltd

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: 3 Storey Building to Provide 16 Flats with Access and

Undercroft and Parking at the Rear.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

[Note: The Committee wished it to be minuted that they were unanimous in

reaching the above decision].

LIST NO: 1/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1599/03/CFU

LOCATION: 60-64 Lower Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Gillett Macleod Partnership for Radcliffe Properties Ltd

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment 13 – 3 Storey & 1-2 Storey House with Access and Parking.

DECISION: That had an appeal not been lodged, permission have been REFUSED for

the development described in the application and submitted plans for the

reasons and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 1/05 APPLICATION NO: P/1513/03/CFU

LOCATION: Enterprise House, 15 St John's Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Dalton Warner David for Conlatuse Ltd

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment to Provide 14 Flats (Resident Permit Restricted) in a

4 Storey Building with Access and Parking.

DECISION:

- (1) That the applicant be informed that the proposal is acceptable subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement within one year (or such period as the Council may determine), of the date of the Committee decision on the application relating to:
- (i) the making of up to adoptable standards (as amended at the meeting) and dedication of that element of the service road with the site to the side and rear of the building as shown on plan number 1901/L(O)02 Rev A, at the applicant's expense.
- (2) That a formal decision notice, granting permission subject to the planning conditions and informatives reported, will be issued only upon completion of the aforementioned Section 106 Agreement by the applicant.
- (3) That it be noted that a letter from the applicant's agent confirming that the access road will definitely go ahead, providing access to rear parking, as stated in the addendum report and as shown in the plans submitted be noted.

1/06 **APPLICATION NO:** LIST NO: P/1711/03/COU

LOCATION: Formerly Rayners Lane Filling Station, 143 Imperial Drive Corner of Imperial

Drive and Vicarage Way

APPLICANT: Dovetail Architects for Burney Estates

Outline: Redevelopment to Provide 16 (Resident Permit Restricted) Flats in PROPOSAL:

Part 3, Part 4 Storey Building with Access and Parking.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

> (Note: (1) During the course of the discussions on the above application, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused on the following

- The proposed development, by reason of its size, bulk and siting would be visually obtrusive and out of character with neighbouring residential properties, would not respect the scale and massing of those properties, to the detriment of the visual amenities of the neighbouring residents.
- The proposed development, by reason of density and excessive site coverage by buildings and hardsurfacing would comprise an overdevelopment of the site reflected in the inadequate levels of parking and usable rear amenity space, to the detriment of the character of the area and the amenities of neighbouring residents.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried.

(2) Councillors Arnold, Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Knowles and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision reached).

LIST NO: 1/07 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1875/03/COU

LOCATION: Alexandra Avenue Primary Care Clinic, South Harrow

Atis Real Weatheralls for Harrow Primary Care Trust APPLICANT:

Outline: Redevelopment: 3 Storey Primary Care Centre (Class D1) with Lower Ground Floor Parking up to 2,900M2 Floor Space, Access PROPOSAL:

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 1/08 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1514/03/CFU

LOCATION: 633-635 Uxbridge Road & 138 Waxwell Lane, Hatch End, Greenways

APPLICANT: Banner Homes Ltd

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: Detached 3 Storey Building with Rooms in Roofspace to

Provide 22 Flats with Basement Parking and Access (Revised).

DECISION: REFUSED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the reason and informative

reported.

LIST NO: 2/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1071/03/CFU

LOCATION: 40 South Parade, Mollison Way, Edgware

APPLICANT: N & V Kotak Associates for Parkwalk Estate

PROPOSAL:

Change of Use: Retail (Class A1) to Mixed Use of Preparation and Sale of Sweets and Savouries (Class B2 and A1) on Ground Floor, Single Storey Rear Extension with new Shop Front and Provision of Extractor Flue.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development which was

amended to read ".... sale of sweets and savouries (Class B1 and A1)... and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informative reported and

the following additional condition and reason:

Condition 4 - The use of the premises for the preparation of sweets and savouries (Class B1) should not take place outside the following times:-

08.00 hours – 18.00 hours Monday to Saturday inclusive and at no time on

Sundays or Bank Holidays, without the written permission of the Local

Planning Authority

Reason 4 – To safeguard the amenities of neighbouring residents.

APPLICATION NO: LIST NO: 2/02 P/1006/03/CFU

LOCATION: Land to Front and Adjacent to 55, 56 & 57 Hartington Close, Harrow,

Substation R/O 55

APPLICANT: Groundwork West London for LBH Housing Services

PROPOSAL: Enclosure of Adjacent Land as Front Garden Access, Landscaping of

Former Play Area and Provision of Boundary Fencing.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/992/03/CFU

LOCATION: 32 Cavendish Drive, Edgware

C R Davila for Mr & Mrs S Malka APPLICANT:

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Rear Extension

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

2/04 LIST NO: **APPLICATION NO:** P/1485/03/CFU

LOCATION: Little Manor, The Common, Stanmore

Design Associates (London) Ltd for Mr Assefi APPLICANT:

PROPOSAL: Part First Floor, Part Two, Storey Front Extension, Conservatory at Rear

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

(Note: Councillors Marilyn Ashton and Mrs Bath wished to be recorded as

having voted against the above decision).

2/05 **APPLICATION NO:** LIST NO: P/1113/03/CFU

63 West Street, Harrow LOCATION:

APPLICANT: Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Mr & Mrs A N Visone

Provision of Gates and Infilling of Garage Door in Association with the Creation of Parking Space to Side of House PROPOSAL:

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/06 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1025/03/CFU

LOCATION: Hatch End High School, Harrow Weald

APPLICANT: David R Yeaman & Associates for Mrs Kwabwe

PROPOSAL: Detached Building to Provide Day Care Nursery for Children from 3 Months

to 5 Years Old.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported and the following additional condition listed in the addendum report

and amended at the meeting:

Condition 9 - No development shall take place until a plan indicating the positions, design and materials of the proposed gates and any new fencing has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning The development shall be carried out in accordance with the

approved details and shall thereafter be retained.

Reason: To safeguard the character of the area.

(Note: (1) Councillor Thornton wished to be recorded as having voted against the above decision and it be noted that a Member abstained from

voting on the above decision;

(2) During discussion on the above application, Councillor Knowles, whilst welcoming the provision of a day care nursery on the site, raised the

following concern:-

(i) that the development would exacerbate the existing traffic problems in the immediate area, especially during the school run, and particularly in

Tillotson Road and that this had road safety implications;

(ii) following a short discussion, it was agreed that Hatch End Ward Councillors should look into the suggestion that Tillotson Road should

be made one-way].

LIST NO: 2/07 **APPLICATION NO:** P/27/03/CFU

LOCATION: Sage House, 319 Pinner Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Lewis & Hickey Ltd for Sage Holdings Ltd

PROPOSAL: Additional Floor of Offices, 1st & 2nd Floor Rear Extension over Parking Area

with Linked Walkways (Revised)

DECISION: (1) That the applicant be informed that the proposal is acceptable subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement within one year (or such period

as the Council may determine) of the date of the Committee decision on the

application relating to:-

(i) the developer shall fund all costs of public consultation, analysis, reporting and implementing of a Controlled Parking Zone in the immediate surroundings, at any time within 3 years of first occupation of the development, if, in the Council's opinion, a monitoring period shows unacceptable on-street parking, up to a maximum of £10,000 index

linked.

(2) That a formal decision notice, granting permission subject to the planning conditions and informatives reported, will be issued only upon completion of the aforementioned Section 106 Agreement by the applicant.

(Note: (1) Councillor Marilyn Ashton wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision reached;

(2) to note that 3 Members voted against the decision reached, that 3 Members abstained from voting and that 5 Members voted in favour of the decision reached).

LIST NO: 2/08 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1362/03/CVA

LOCATION: Timbers, 41 Brookshill, Harrow Weald

APPLICANT: Derek E Alan Nash for Mahavir Foundation Ltd

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Replacement Building for Use as Place of Workshop and

Religious Instruction (Revised)

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the following reason.

Reason: The proposed ornamentation, together with the increase in height of the building, would give rise to a loss of visual amenity to the neighbouring properties to the detriment of this Area of Special Character

located within the Green Belt (See also minute 376(3)).

(Note 1: (1) Prior to debating the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector and a representative from the applicant. Following their submissions, Members asked questions of both the objector

and the representative from the applicant.

The objector, who spoke on behalf of neighbouring residents, argued that the proposed development was not in keeping with the special character of the area, that it infringed the guidelines for developments in a green belt area and that the ornate design of the proposal was also not in keeping with the area. He added that a large number of worshippers had gathered on the site recently and had breached the conditions already imposed, that the height of the proposal was over dominant and that the Council had not adequately consulted local residents. Finally, he alleged that the planning process was flawed and that the views of local residents had been completely ignored.

The representative of the applicant, in response, advised that the new building would be lower than the original one, that only 10% of the site would be built with the remaining 90% being retained in its current form, and that a voluntary no right turn for cars leaving the site would be imposed in order to reduce the possibility of accidents. He explained that whilst a significant part of the ornamental appearance had been removed, some

ornate features had been retained to give the building a religious appearance. He added that the site would not be used for large gatherings but acknowledged that the consecration ceremony had attracted more worshippers than had been expected. However, he assured the Committee that the applicant would be a good neighbour and had offered to liaise and work with a representative(s) of the residents, once nominated by the local residents.

(2) during discussion on this item, it was formally moved and seconded that the application be refused and, upon being put to a vote, this was carried.

Note 2: The Recommendation in the addendum report that determination of the above application be deferred, to allow the Government Office for London (GOL) time to consider a request from a third party to call in the proposal, and, additionally, to allow a report on the impact of the proposal on traffic in the area and the number of visitors the premises was likely to attract to which the Chair, seconded by Councillor Bluston, had drawn attention in the course of the debate, was not considered consequent on the above vote in favour of refusal.

- (3) The motion set out in 2 above, upon being put to a vote, was carried.
- (4) Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Miles and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision reached.
- (5) The Chair announced that the applicant had the normal right of appeal against the decision to refuse the application).

LIST NO: 2/09 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1018/03/CFU

LOCATION: 42 & 44 High Street, Harrow on the Hill

APPLICANT: LCC UK for Orange Personal Communication

PROPOSAL: Installation of Microcell Antenna 110mm x 320mm at Height of 6M on Front

Elevation of No.44, with Feeder Cables to Equipment Cabinet at Side of

No.42

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the following reason.

<u>Reason:</u> The proposed development, in particular the equipment cabin, would be visually obtrusive by reason of unsatisfactory size and site, and be detrimental to the character and appearance of this part of the Conservation

(Also see Minute 375 (iii)).

Area and the amenity of local residents.

[Notes: (1) Prior to debating the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector. There was no indication that a representative of the applicant was present and wished to respond.

The objector also spoke on behalf of neighbouring residents, and with the aid of photographs argued that the proposal, by virtue of its design and siting, was detrimental to the character of the area. She added that whilst the residents were not against the use of mobile phones and the installation of antennas, they were concerned about the health risks, which in her opinion were adequate planning reasons to refuse the application. She urged the Committee to consider the health risks associated with the proposal in what was a densely populated area and supported her argument by reading out judgement(s) which she mentioned had been well documented.

(2) The Planning Officer read out the following on behalf of Councillor Harriss who was present at the meeting during consideration and determination of this application:

Councillor Harriss would like it clarified that this planning application is not being made by him, nor does he have any input into it. He has been criticised for submitting the application and feels slightly aggrieved that he is being blamed for an application over which he has no control or involvement and is not the initiator of this application.

- (3) The Chair mentioned that it was not uncommon for a neighbour or a third party to submit an application on another person's land.
- (4) During discussion on this application, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused. Upon being put to a vote, this was carried.
- (5) Those members in the room who voted on the refusal namely Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Miles, Thornton, and Anne Whitehead wished it to be minuted that they were unanimous in reaching the above decision).

LIST NO: 2/10 APPLICATION NO: P/32/03/CFU

LOCATION: 1B Albury Drive, Pinner

APPLICANT: P R Architecture for Mr M Hasham

PROPOSAL: Single-Storey Side Extension, First Floor Side Extension, Use of Two

Rooms on Ground Floor for Beauty Treatments, Conversion of Garage to

Habitable Accommodation.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/11 **APPLICATION NO:** P1398/03/CFU

LOCATION: 17 Chestnut Avenue, Edgware

APPLICANT: Marcel Blum for Mr & Mrs J Shohet

PROPOSAL: First Floor Rear Extension with Dormers in Roof

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/12 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1412/03/CRE

LOCATION: St Anselms First & Middle School, 18 Roxborough Park, Harrow

APPLICANT: Nicholson GDA Architects for St Anselms First & Middle School

PROPOSAL: Renewal of Planning Permission EAST/501/98/REN dated 31 July 98 for

Replacement Retaining Wall, Surfacing of New Playground Area and

Associated Landscaping.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

(See 2/13 below)

LIST NO: 2/13 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1430/03/CCA

LOCATION: St Anselms First & Middle School, 18 Roxborough Park, harrow

APPLICANT: Nicholson GDA for St Anselms First & Middle School

PROPOSAL: Conversation Area Consent: Demolition of Retaining Wall and Remains of

Outbuilding

DECISION: GRANTED conservation area consent in accordance with the works

described in the application and submitted plans subject to the conditions

and informatives reported.

(See 2/12 above).

LIST NO: 2/14 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1390/03/CFU

LOCATION: 43 Canons Drive, Edgware **APPLICANT:** David Barnard for I Gerrard

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Rear Extension and Roof Extensions to Include Rear Dormers

(Revised).

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/15 **APPLICATION NO:** P/476/03/CFU

LOCATION: Land Adjacent The White Horse Public House, 50 Middle Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Dennis Granston for Mr & Mrs M Fitchet

PROPOSAL: Two Storey Side and Single Rear Extension to Provide Semi Detached

House with Detached Garage

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the following reasons:

Reason 1: The proposal would have an adverse impact on the character and appearance of this part of the Conservation Area and the neighbouring

locally listed building.

Reason 2: The proposal is likely to lead to a loss of off-street parking giving rise to an increase in on-street parking in Middle Road, to the detriment of

the safety and free flow of traffic on the adjoining highway.

(Note: (1) Prior to the consideration of the application, the Committee's attention was drawn to the additional conditional set out in the addendum report, should the Committee be minded to grant planning permission;

(2) During consideration of this application, it was moved and seconded that determination of this application be deferred to allow Members to visit the site. Upon being put to a vote this was not carried, the Chair having exercised her second and casting vote;

(3) During consideration of this application, it was moved and seconded that this application be refused. Upon being put to a vote, this was carried.

(4) Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Miles and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision reached).

LIST NO: 2/16 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1383/03/CRE

LOCATION: West House, 50 West End Lane, Pinner

APPLICANT: Design & Building Services for The Pinner Association

PROPOSAL: Renewal of Outline Permission WEST/429/00/LA3 Granted on 24 July 2000

for Part Single, Part Two Storey Side and Rear Extensions and Use as

Museum

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/17 **APPLICATION NO:** P/904/03/CFU

LOCATION: Katies Kitchen, Forward Drive, Christchurch Industrial Estate, Harrow

APPLICANT: Lanchester & Lodge Architects for Geest Food Ltd

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Temporary Storage and Cold Store Units with Corridor Linked

to Existing Buildings

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported and the following additional condition.

<u>Condition 4:</u> Any plant and machinery, including that for fume extraction, ventilation, refrigeration and air conditioning, which may be used by reason of granting this permission, shall be so installed, used and thereafter retained as to prevent the transmission of noise and vibration into any neighbouring premises.

<u>Reason 4:</u> To ensure that the proposed development does not give rise to noise nuisance to neighbouring residents.

LIST NO: 2/18 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1086/03/CFU

LOCATION: 343 Rayners Lane, Rayners Lane

APPLICANT: David R Yeaman & Associates for Goldens Solicitors

PROPOSAL: Conversion of Garage into Offices in Connection with Ground Floor Use and

Replacement Single Storey Rear Extension for Ancillary Use

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/19 APPLICATION NO: P/801/03/CFU

LOCATION: Land Adjoining 8 Pembroke Place, Edgware

APPLICANT: The Gillet Macleod Partnership for R J Dias

PROPOSAL: Two Storey Detached House with Parking

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported and the following additional conditions:

Condition 7: All planting, seeding or turfing comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out in the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the building(s), or the completion of the development, whichever is the sooner. Any existing or new trees or shrubs which, within a period of 2 years from the completion of the development, die, are removed, or become seriously damaged or diseased, shall be replaced in the next planting season, with others of a similar size and species, unless the local authority agrees any variation in writing. Such landscaping to include appropriate screen planting along the north and east boundaries of the site.

<u>Reason 7:</u> To safeguard the appearance and character of the area, and to enhance the appearance of the development.

<u>Condition 8:</u> The development hereby permitted shall not commence until there has been submitted to, and approved by, the local planning authority, a scheme of hard and soft landscape works which shall include a survey of all existing trees and hedgerows on the land, indicating those to be retained and those to be lost. Details of those to be retained, together with measures for their protection in the course of the development, shall also be submitted and approved, and carried out in accordance with such approval, prior to any demolition or any other site works, and retained until the

development is completed. Soft landscape works shall include: planting plants, and schedules of plants, noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities.

<u>Reason 8:</u> To safeguard the appearance and character of the area, and to enhance the appearance of the development.

(Note: The Committee wished it to be minuted that they were unanimous in reaching the above decision).

LIST NO: 2/20 APPLICATION NO: P/369/03/CFU

LOCATION: Valleyfield – Mount Park Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: John Browning Associates for John Browning

PROPOSAL: Conversion to Provide 3 Houses with Forecourt Parking, Carriage Drive,

Alterations to Existing House, Single Storey Side Extension, Rooms in Roof

DECISION: DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit to take place on 23 September 2003.

LIST NO: 2/21 **APPLICATION NO:** P/368/03/CCA

LOCATION: Valleyfield – Mount Park Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: John Browning Associates for John Browning

PROPOSAL: Conservation Area Consent: Demolition of Outbuildings

DECISION: DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit to take place on 23 September 2003.

LIST NO: 2/22 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1107/03/CFU

LOCATION: 99 Stanmore Hill, Stanmore

APPLICANT: Geoff Beardsley & Partners Ltd for The Rose Hill Pension Scheme

PROPOSAL: Use of Part Ground Floor for A3 Purposes and Conversion to Provide Flat

with New Hipped Roof and Provision of Roof, Windows and Elevational

Cladding

DECISION: DEFERRED at the request of officers in order to allow expiry of re-

notification.

LIST NO: 2/23 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1605/03/CVA

LOCATION: Headstone Lawn Tennis Club, 20 Hillfield Close, Harrow

APPLICANT: Mrs J Ginger for Headstone Lawn Tennis Club

PROPOSAL: Variation of condition 2 of Planning Permission WEST/905/02/FUL to Permit

Use of Floodlighting up to 21.30 hours

DECISION: APPROVED variation of condition 2 subject to the following condition, as

amended at the meeting, and the informatives reported.

Condition 1: For a period of one year from the date of the permission hereby granted the floodlighting shall not be used between 21.30 and 09.00 hours, Monday to Sunday inclusive, after which period, the hours of use of the floodlighting shall revert to that in the original Condition 2 — use permitted only between 09.00 hours to 21.00 hours Monday to Friday inclusive - of planning permission WEST/905/02/FUL.

Reason 1: In the interests of residential amenity.

(Note: (1) During consideration of this application, it was moved and seconded that the variation should be approved for a period of 1 year only.

Upon being put to a vote, this was carried;

(2) the Committee wished it to be minuted that they were unanimous in reaching the above decision and that permission should be for a period of 1 year only;

(3) during consideration of this application, there was general consensus that the monitoring of the impact of the above decision should be carried out by local residents.

LIST NO: 2/24 APPLICATION NO: P/1554/03/CRE

LOCATION: Canons Court, Stonegrove, Edgware

APPLICANT: Chess Architecture

PROPOSAL: Renewal of Planning Permission EAST/869/97/FUL to Provide Additional

Storey Over Part of Roof to Provide 4 Flats with Roof Terraces and Parking.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/25 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1564/03/CCO

LOCATION: 20 Hillfield Close, Harrow, Headstone Lawn Tennis Club

APPLICANT: Mrs J Ginger for Headstone Lawn Tennis Club

PROPOSAL: Retention of 9 x 6.7m High Floodlighting Columns

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to conditions and informative

reported.

LIST NO: 2/26 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1443/03/CFU

LOCATION: 2 Canons Corner, Edgware

APPLICANT: Anthony Bowhill & Associates for I Ebrahim Esq.

PROPOSAL: Change of Use: A1 (Retail) to A3 (Food and Drink)

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the following reason:

<u>Reason:</u> The proposal would be detrimental to the residential amenity of the neighbouring properties in the adjoining roads giving rise to noise and disturbance with the resulting overspill parking and activity associated with

A3 hours of use.

(Note: (1) Prior to discussion of the above application, the Committee received representations from two objectors and a representative of the applicant, following which Members asked questions of the objectors.

The objectors who spoke on behalf of the neighbouring residents pointed out that the proposed use as a restaurant was incompatible in this parade which consisted of retail shops only, that the fumes and noise generated by the extractor fan(s) and by patrons leaving the premises would be unacceptable in what was a quiet residential area. The objectors argued that the proposal would result in the loss of necessary local retail provisions and that policies S16 and EM21 were both applicable in relation to this proposal. They pointed out that similar applications had previously been refused and urged that the proposal before Members that evening be also refused.

In response, the representative of the applicant pointed out that the principle of the change of use was not under question. He added that noise and fumes from plant and machinery would be controlled by Condition 5 in the officer's report and that any objections relating to parking could not be

sustained as the guidance issued by Central Government, sought reduced parking provision. Finally, he stated that the proposal would improve the vitality and viability of the area;

(2) During the course of the discussion on the above application, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused. Upon being put to a vote, this was carried).

LIST NO: 2/27 APPLICATION NO: P/1112/03/CFU

LOCATION: 51/53 The Broadway, Stanmore

APPLICANT: Dalton Warner David for Elliston Solicitors

PROPOSAL: Two Storey First and Second Floor Rear Extension with Parking on Ground

Floor Alterations Including 2 Rear Dormer Windows

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/28 **APPLICATION NO:** P/841/03/CFU

LOCATION: RC Church of St William of York, Du Cros Drive, Stanmore

APPLICANT: Kylie Smart Associates for WRCDT St William Stanmore

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Detached Building to Provide Church Hall with Access and

Parking

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 2/29 APPLICATION NO: P/863/03/CFU

LOCATION: 49 Crofts Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Hazan Smith & Partners for Benbow Building Ltd

PROPOSAL: Part Two Storey/Part Single Storey Side and Rear Extensions, Conversion

to 3 Flats with Access an Parking.

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 3/01 APPLICATION NO: WEST/1069/03/FUL

LOCATION: 116 Pinner Road, Harrow

APPLICANT: Mel-Pindi Construction Service for Mel-Pindi Constructional Services

PROPOSAL: Change of Use: Car Showroom (Sui Generis) to A3 (Food and Drink) on

Ground Floor with Single Storey Rear Extension.

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the reason and informative reported.

LIST NO: 3/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/897/03/CFU

LOCATION: 22 Brookshill Avenue, Harrow

APPLICANT: Building Design Services for Mr & Mrs P Stone

PROPOSAL: Single Storey Side Extension to Provide Accommodation for Disabled

Person

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the reason and informative reported.

(Note: (1) The applicant, with the permission of the Chair and the Committee, tabled a written request that consideration of this item be

deferred;

(2) During discussion, it was moved and seconded that determination of

this application be deferred to allow Members to visit the site. Upon being

put to a vote, this was not carried.]

LIST NO: 3/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1069/03/CVA

LOCATION: 246 Uxbridge Road, Hatch End

APPLICANT: Carrington Stevens Moore Ltd for Ask Restaurants Ltd

PROPOSAL: Variation of Condition 8 of WEST/833/97/FUL to Allow Use of Rear Garden

as Additional Customer Floorspace

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the reason and informative reported.

LIST NO: 3/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1206/03/CFU

LOCATION: Old Brewery House, Park Lane, Stanmore

APPLICANT: Arthur S Ferryman & Associates for R C (Holdings) Ltd

PROPOSAL: Part 2 Storey: Part First Floor Rear Extension

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans for the reasons and informative reported.

(See 3/05 below)

LIST NO: 3/05 APPLICATION NO: P/1369/03/CLB

LOCATION: Old Brewery House, 1 Park Lane, Stanmore

APPLICANT: Arthur J Perryman Associates for Mr Leader-Cramer

PROPOSAL: Listed Building Consent: Demolition of Conservatory to Rear, Replacement

with Two Storey Extension and Other Alterations.

DECISION: REFUSED listed building consent for the works described in the application

and submitted plans for the reasons and informative reported.

(See 3/04 above)