

## 2023/24 Budget

### SUMMARY

This report sets out the 2023/24 budget proposal and the key points are as follows:

- The Authority is budgeting to pay boroughs PPP income (i.e. electricity) totalling £6.3 million in July 2023.
- Costs and levies growth is contained to 1.8% (£2.6 million) in context of inflation running in excess of 10%.
- A programme of work totalling £2.8m over 3 years (£1.1. million in 2023/24) is to be funded from the Authority's share of PPP income and will deliver carbon and financial savings directly to boroughs

### RECOMMENDATION(S)

The Authority is asked to:-

- 1) Approve the 2023/24 budget
- 2) Approve the budget for work to be met from PPP income
- 3) Approve the Pay As You Throw (PAYT) rates in section 15 and the PAYT levy made up of two components totalling of £53.1 million
- 4) Approve the Fixed Cost Levy (FCL) of £14.0 million in section 16
- 5) Approve the recommended trade and construction prices in section 17 and delegated authority to the Finance Director to change these in year should the need arise
- 6) Approve payment of PPP income totalling £6.3 million to boroughs in July 2023 shared in accordance with the Finance Strategy.
- 7) Approve the new proposed capital budgets in section 18
- 8) Approve the target level of reserves of £10.3 million to act as a buffer for managing risks (including the risk around the level of PPP income), in section 19
- 9) Note the Medium and Long Term Financial Plan key messages in section 20

## 1. Introduction

1.1 The 2023-24 draft budget was considered in detail at the Authority meeting in December and was shared/presented to meetings of the West London Environment Directors and West London Treasurers. The borough Finance Directors were also asked to provide a formal response to the budget proposals.

1.2 No changes have subsequently been made to the 2023-24 draft budget which is as reported in December and the report which follows is almost the same as December's report but with updates for:

- Use of the latest 2022-23 forecast in the table in section 2
- Formal feedback from borough Finance Directors (section 21 and appendix 2)

## **2. Executive Summary**

2.1 This year amidst greater economic uncertainty and financial challenge, the 2023/24 budget proposal takes a step further in the Authority's approach to providing boroughs with greater stability and better predictability (a key theme identified in the Finance Strategy).

2.2 The 2023/24 budget anticipates PPP income and proposes to pay boroughs £6.3 million in July 2023 apportioned using the final approved council tax base (i.e. approx. £1 million each).

2.3 Previously, sharing income in this way has only taken place after income has been received. Budgeting for the payment of £6.3 million will therefore require the Authority to manage the risk of lower than anticipated income (e.g. due to low electricity prices, actual impact of windfall taxes) by using its reserves.

2.4 Most of the Authority's spending relates to borough activity levels which are met/served through contracts. So the key drivers of costs and therefore levies are the boroughs' own forecast activity levels and the contractual inflation.

2.5 For 2023/24 the rise in overall costs and therefore levies has been contained at 1.8% or £2.6 million. This is in context of inflationary forecasts of 10.6% (HM Treasury) for price rises next April. This year's high inflationary impact has been partly offset by lower budgeted borough activity levels.

2.6 The key long term mitigation of spend and therefore levies is managing the wasteflows. These are largely within the control of boroughs so the budget also identifies 3 new key programmes of work to be met from the Authority's share of PPP income already received. £1.1 million is budgeted to be invested in this work in 2023/24.

2.7 The programmes of work will identify interventions where boroughs will see the benefits in their own budgets - essentially spend (Authority) to save (boroughs) programmes. For example the existing programme of removing food waste from residual waste streams so more residents use the food waste services already there. These programmes of work will be developed and agreed with stakeholders in due course.

2.8 The potential value of programmes of similar work across West London using an analysis from 2019 as the basis, shows the change required and the potential savings on offer of millions of pounds per year across all constituent boroughs. A more detailed and up to date analysis of this work will be undertaken over coming months as we develop the joint waste strategy. Nevertheless, it is clear that the sums/opportunity is significant, as is the challenge.

2.9 The table over the page sets out the 2023/24 budget and the movement from the 2022/23 budget. The latest 2022/23 forecast is also included to provide context and illustrate the current level of activity. The spend lines have also been split out to separate the spend from

business as usual activities and those from the programme of work funded by PPP income, providing better transparency.

	<b>2022-23 budget £ 000's</b>	<b>2022-23 forecast £ 000's</b>	<b>2023-24 budget £ 000's</b>	<b>Changes in budgets £ 000's</b>
<b>Business As Usual Costs</b>				
WTD - Waste Transport and Disposal	49,558	48,270	51,531	1,973
Funding of HRRC project	1,200	1,200	0	(1,200)
Depreciation	9,809	10,469	10,375	566
Financing Cost	5,105	5,094	4,971	(134)
Premises	2,672	2,490	2,603	(69)
Employees	2,516	2,646	2,617	101
Supplies and Services	1,198	1,090	1,187	(11)
MRF Service Costs	2,148	2,025	2,256	108
Revenue Funding of Debt	962	962	984	22
Concession Accounting Adjustments	(4,473)	(4,473)	(4,570)	(97)
<b>BAU costs</b>	<b>70,695</b>	<b>69,773</b>	<b>71,954</b>	<b>1,259</b>

<b>Programme of work funded by PPP income</b>				
Depreciation	0	0	107	107
Premises	0	0	45	45
Employees	132	98	308	176
Supplies and Services	0	0	660	660
<b>Programme costs</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1,120</b>	<b>998</b>

<b>Total costs</b>	<b>70,827</b>	<b>69,871</b>	<b>73,074</b>	<b>2,247</b>
--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------

<b>Income</b>				
Levies	64,551	63,604	67,116	2,565
MRF service income	2,148	2,025	2,256	108
Other Income	2,128	3,199	2,582	454
PPP one off benefit	2,000	9,450	0	(2,000)
Programme costs funded by PPP income	0	0	1,120	1,120
<b>Total income</b>	<b>70,827</b>	<b>78,278</b>	<b>73,074</b>	<b>2,247</b>

<b>Total (surplus)/deficit</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(8,405)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
--------------------------------	----------	----------------	----------	----------

<b>PPP income disbursed to boroughs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,100</b>	<b>6,300</b>	<b>6,300</b>
---	----------	--------------	--------------	--------------

2.10 Otherwise the budget headings are per our usual format for regular budget monitoring reports and accounting categories. The most notable movements relate to the growth in waste transport and disposal costs and levies, the removal of one off items from the previous year, the inclusion of PPP income payable to boroughs and the funding of 3 programmes of work.

2.11 Note that the MRF activities have a neutral effect with costs being passed through to Ealing for the services provided.

2.12 Plans for the coming year and an explanation of budget items follows.

### **3. Programme of work funded by PPP income**

3.1 The Finance Strategy approved in September identified that two thirds of PPP income would be passed through to boroughs and one third of PPP income would be set aside for Authority Strategic projects.

3.2 On this basis for the Authority's share of PPP income, 3 programmes of work have been identified:

- Digital Twin – to decarbonise collection services and find immediate cost savings for boroughs
- Social Value and Reuse – to decarbonise HRRC services and create social value
- Communications – To better inform residents of complex recycling challenges and inform boroughs of recycling opportunities

Brief summaries follow. The programmes will be developed with borough colleagues and reported to stakeholders for approval in due course.

3.3 Digital Twin - The objective is to create a digital twin of on the ground waste and recycling services and achieve rapid decarbonisation and cost savings for Boroughs through data led efficiency savings. The programme will be evaluated over 3 years, cost £855,000 which will be fully covered by in Borough savings during the period and will identify future annual costs and savings in the next steps.

Year 1 and 2 Deliverables:

- a) A digital version of the kerbside services, communal services, street cleansing routes and trade services for all 6 west London boroughs.
- b) Integration of data eg tonnages, carbon, demographics for these services into our reporting systems in Power BI and the self-service platform so real time data is available to boroughs.
- c) ANPR integration at HRRC sites.
- d) Collection of new data to enable better understanding of capture and participation in services.
- e) Route optimisation of existing services across Harrow and Hounslow.
- f) Creation of user-friendly data sets that can be used to model all the data requirements for the proposed EPR system and to underpin the procurement of new contracts to recycle and transport a wider range of materials.
- g) Development of links with planning data and permits for waste sites to better understand capacity and impact of new developments on service provision.

3.4 Social Value and Reuse - The objective is to increase separation of materials, innovate new handling and storage methods to retain the value of the item not just the material it is made from. The aim is to deliver immediate changes to existing infrastructure and measure the social and financial value of circular economy projects. We will test our ability to invest directly into borough sites not owned by WLWA and measure the co-benefits of efficiencies. The programme will test service resilience to change

The Authority have created this opportunity with a combination of several projects at Abbey Road and working closely with operatives at Townmead Road. They have invested in solar panels, created the fixing factory, built a circular economy relationship with Petit Miracles, extracted bicycles, children's toys and NHS equipment from waste and recirculated it creating social value. Most Boroughs have identified similar circular economy projects This programme starts to pull the whole system together to scale up and create additional value with a hub and spoke model

3.5 Communications - The objective is to test citizen knowledge and attitudes to recycling services. It will create content to provide more and better information on some of the more complex issues and test knowledge and attitudes again at the end. Recycling, reuse, social value and waste data will also be used to evaluate the work. Food waste recycling is the service that most citizens don't use. Persuading every household to recycle food waste will reduce waste, reduce cost, increase recycling and contribute to global and UK decarbonisation. It will result in cost savings for citizens, Boroughs and WLWA. Cost savings for WLWA and Boroughs means more money available to invest in other recycling services. We want to normalise food waste recycling and ban food waste from the bin. Similarly this programme of work will also look at plastics, textiles, electricals / batteries, reuse / social value and dry mixed recycling.

3.6 The above provides an outline of the 3 programmes of work. The programme spending totalling £2.8 million is to be met from the WLWA share of PPP income already received and will run over three years delivering both financial and carbon benefits directly to boroughs.

#### 4. Waste Transport & Disposal (WTD)

3.1 The WTD budget accounts for the majority of the entire WLWA budget and makes up 72% of the spending. Strategically, this is where most of the significant saving opportunities can be found.

3.2 The 2023/24 WTD budget is £51.5 million, an increase of £1.9 million.

3.3 The residual waste budget is the key driver and represents 80% of the WTD costs (or 58% of all Authority costs – hence the strategic significance). For 2023/24 this is based on boroughs' forecasts of residual waste which are 3.7% lower than the 2022/23 budget and reflecting the current level of activity.

3.4 Looking at the complete picture, the 2023/24 budgeted tonnage is made up of the following materials:

<b>Material</b>	<b>2022/23 Budget Tonnes</b>	<b>2023/24 Budget Tonnes</b>	<b>Change</b>
Residual	433,711	417,757	(15,954)
Green	53,610	45,799	(7,811)
Wood	17,694	16,121	(1,573)
Kitchen	39,300	32,616	(6,684)
Other	11,849	4,745	(7,104)
<b>Budgeted tonnages</b>	<b>556,164</b>	<b>517,038</b>	<b>(39,126)</b>

3.5 No significant service changes were identified by boroughs. Borough forecast volumes are lower than last year's budget setting exercise but in overall terms show a small growth on current activity levels.

3.6 To calculate the budgeted spend, RPIX of 10.6% (a mid-range forecast from HM treasury) has been applied to contractor prices where there is a contractual indexation requirement. Published forecasts including HM Treasury range from 7.8% to 12.6% with Septembers RPIX standing at 12.6%.

3.7 It is worth noting that within the main PPP contract this impact is partly mitigated by the pricing mechanism which dampens the overall effect of inflation – a very effective feature of the contract. This is highlighted in the sensitivity analysis in section 20.

3.8 Where other contracts are due for procurement, procuring managers have used best available market information to estimate rates for the coming year.

## **5. Depreciation**

4.1 The depreciation budgets for 2023/24 of £10.5 million is £0.7 million higher than in 2022/23. This reflects property asset valuations undertaken for the 2021/22 accounts and agreed with auditors for the accounts and capital additions.

4.2 The largest element of depreciation relates to the SERC (Sevenside Energy Recovery Centre) and totals £8.8 million. It should be noted that for depreciation calculations, the SERC has to be separated out into its main components and each key component has to be depreciated over its own expected life.

4.3 Depreciation for the remaining assets have been calculated using valuations agreed with auditors and subsequent change in the asset registers (i.e. additions and disposals).

## **6. Financing**

5.1 The financing costs reflect the interest paid on loans. These have reduced from £5.1 million in 2022/23 to £5.0 million for 2023/24 primarily as a result of the payment profile of repayment loans. With repayment loans a fixed sum is paid every year comprising of both interest and principal repayment. The interest element will continue to fall over coming years, conversely the principal repayment will rise.

5.2 The largest component of financing costs relates to borrowing from boroughs for the construction of the SERC and totals £4.5 million. The loans are at arm's length and from a borrowing perspective the boroughs are like any other lender with the loan agreements specifying the relationship with the Authority and including a rate of interest of 7.604%.

5.3 The interest on loans for the purchase of transfer station freeholds makes up the balance of £0.5 million and represents a PWLB loan at 2.24%.

## **7. Premises**

7.1 The overall premises budgets for 2023/24 of £2.6 million are slightly lower than 2022/23 reflecting the challenge to Authority's managers to identify savings.

7.2 The largest component of the premises costs are business rates which account for £2.3 million of this budget of which SERC rates make up £1.3 million and transfer stations £0.8 million.

## 8. Employees

8.1 The 2023/24 employee budgets of £2.9 million is £0.3 million higher than the 2022/23 level. This growth relates principally to programme resources (3 FTE) and wage inflation (3%) which is partly offset by savings from a reduction in the business as usual establishment.

8.2 The 2023/24 establishment of 42.7 full time equivalent (FTE) posts is an increase of 2.6 from the previous year. Putting this into context the Authority employed 42 FTE in 2014/15 and over many years FTE numbers have subsequently stayed close to 40. The size of the staffing establishment numbers remains small and stable whilst providing the resource to drive forwards business plan objectives and undertake the increasing volume, variety and complexity of work.

8.3 A notional breakdown of the establishment by area of activity and whether business as usual (BAU) or programme work is provided below:

<b>BAU Activity</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>Change</b>
Contracts/Operations	19.0	19.0	0
Corporate Services	9.1	9.7	0.6
Projects	9.0	8.0	(1.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>37.1</b>	<b>36.7</b>	<b>(0.4)</b>

<b>Programme Activity</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>Change</b>
Contracts/Operations	1.0	1.0	0
Corporate Services	1.0	2.0	1.0
Projects	1.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>

<b>Total FTE</b>	<b>40.1</b>	<b>42.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>
------------------	-------------	-------------	------------

## 9. Supplies & Services

9.1 The 2023/24 overall budgets for Supplies & Services is £1.8 million up by £0.6 million from 2022/23. With a focus on savings managers have slightly reduced business as usual spending. The growth relates to programme spending which is to be met from PPP income.

9.2 A wide variety of spends make up this total including £0.7 million for the programme of work in section 3.

9.3 Other notable items include spending for professional services, external audit, insurances and borough services (e.g. committee services, treasury etc.).

9.4 Budgets for some minor costs have been stripped out.

## 10. Revenue Funding of Debt

10.1 The loan which financed the purchase of the transfer station sites is a typical repayment loan. It is made up of two components – an element for the interest on the loan (see Financing Costs) and an element repaying the loan principal.

- 10.2 The Revenue Funding of Debt is the element repaying the sites loan and totals £1.0 million for 2023/24. This is marginally higher (£20,000) than 2022/23 reflecting that within a typical repayment loan, the amount of principal repaid increases over time and amount of interest falls.
- 10.3 It is worth providing the following brief recap of the revenue funding of debt which was detailed in Authority papers recommending the site purchase a number of years ago.
- 10.4 It is a requirement for public bodies to ultimately fund the cost of assets through levies and taxes. For the Authority this is achieved through a combination of the depreciation charge and revenue funding of debt.
- 10.5 Typically the acquisition of assets result in an annual depreciation charge. This annual expenditure is recovered through the levy mechanism and therefore the levies over the life of the asset fund its purchase.
- 10.6 However, the acquisition of the sites freehold is essentially a purchase of land. For land, accounting rules do not allow a depreciation charge. This means that in order to fund the purchase through levies a different (but comparable to depreciation) annual charge is made – the revenue funding of debt.

## **11. Concession Accounting Adjustments**

- 11.1 Essentially under a PPP arrangement a contractor pays for the construction of an asset and then recovers its investment over a long period through its operational charges to the local authority (i.e. its price per tonne).
- 11.2 There are very specific and detailed accounting requirements that govern this type of arrangement. This is because the underlying nature of this transaction is that the local authority *essentially* owns the asset for a period of time and the contractor is *essentially* a lender financing the construction of the asset.
- 11.3 The key feature of the accounting is the calculation of a concession accounting adjustment to separate out the disposal and financing costs, followed by stripping out from expenditure a notional sum for the repayment of any underlying borrowing by the contractor.
- 11.4 The concession accounting adjustments over the term of the contract were agreed with the auditors EY. For 2023/24 they total £4.6 million, compared to £4.5 million in 2022/23. This accounting adjustment reduces overall costs and levies.



## 12. Growth and Savings

12.1 The majority of Authority spending is committed under long term contracts (e.g. PPP) or agreements (e.g. loans) or governed by accounting requirements (e.g. depreciation). This leaves less opportunity for savings.

12.2 However, as part of the budget setting process at an operational level, a variety of measures (including a managers' challenge session with the Senior Leadership Team) have ensured savings across areas where managers are able to exercise some control.

12.3 The tables below identify the growth and savings which are included within the 2023/24 draft budget. The tables separate out real growth and savings from other movements reflecting longer term decisions.

12.4 Summary table:

	<b>£ 000's</b>
Net budgeted costs/levies 2021/22	64,551
Growth	5,094
Savings	(2,673)
Other movements	144
<b>Budgeted costs/levies 2022/23</b>	<b>67,116</b>

12.5 Growth table:

<b>Area</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Growth £ 000's</b>
Waste Transport and Disposal	Increased contract costs resulting from inflation for residual waste (£3,116,000), haulage (£225,000), increase in wood (£356,000), increase in rubble volumes (£264,000) and a range of movements in other materials spends (£151,000)	4,112
Premises	New automatic number plate recognition for the smart cities work (£45,000)	45
Employees	2.6 FTE increase in establishment (£151,000), salary inflation 3% (89,000) and other minor movements (£37,000)	277
Supplies and Services	Digital twin, reuse and communications advice and services (£660,000)	660
		<b>5,094</b>

12.6 Savings table:

<b>Area</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Saving £ 000's</b>
Waste Transport and Disposal	Reduction in residual volumes (£1,612,000), reduction in mattress volumes (£257,000) and better pricing achieved from procurement of green waste (£270,000)	(2,139)

Premises	Reduction in SERC related premises costs (£69,000)	(69)
Supplies and Services	Stripping out of unspent minor budgets (£11,000)	(11)
Other Income	Growth in trade income (£400,000), sale of recyclables (£40,000) and other minor improvements (£14,000)	(454)
		<b>(2,673)</b>

### 12.7 Other movements table:

<b>Area</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Increase / (Decrease) £ 000's</b>
Depreciation	Reflecting property valuations agreed with auditors for the last accounts	673
Financing Costs	Reflecting reducing interest in repayment loans for SERC with boroughs	(134)
Revenue Funding of Debt	Reflecting rising repayment of principal in repayment loan for sites with PWLB	22
Concession Accounting Adjustment	Reflecting adjustments agreed with auditors for the last accounts	(97)
PPP Income / HRRC funding	2022/23 one off item superseded by Finance Strategy methodology for sharing PPP income	800
Programme work funded by PPP income	Funding of strategic projects per Finance Strategy	(1,120)
		<b>144</b>

### 13. PAYT / FCL split

13.1 PAYT costs relate to waste that boroughs collect and deliver to transfer stations and FCL costs are those which relate to waste from HRRC sites and the Authority's running expenses.

13.2 Both also include an element for the recovery of SERC financing costs, depreciation, rates and concession accounting adjustments.

13.3 The breakdown of the budget between PAYT and FCL activities is as follows:

<b>PAYT (disposal cost)</b>	<b>2022/23 £000's</b>	<b>2023/24 £000's</b>	<b>Change £000's</b>
Waste Transport and Disposal	41,894	43,986	2,092
PAYT Levy (disposal)	(41,894)	(43,986)	(2,092)
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>PAYT (SERC cost)</b>	<b>2022/23 £000's</b>	<b>2023/24 £000's</b>	<b>Change £000's</b>
Depreciation (SERC)	7,204	7,934	730
Financing Costs (SERC)	3,976	4,070	94
Premises (SERC)	1,194	1,210	16
Concession Accounting Adjustment (SERC)	(3,838)	(4,114)	(276)
PAYT Levy (SERC)	(8,536)	(9,100)	(564)
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>FCL</b>	<b>2022/23 £000's</b>	<b>2023/24 £000's</b>	<b>Change £000's</b>
Waste Transport and Disposal	7,664	7,545	(119)
Employees	2,648	2,925	277
Premises	1,478	1,438	(40)
Supplies and Services	1,198	1,847	649
Depreciation	2,605	2,548	(57)
Financing	1,129	901	(228)
Revenue funding of Debt	962	984	22
Concession Accounting Adjustment	(635)	(456)	178
Non Levy Income	(2,128)	(2,582)	(454)
PPP one off benefit / HRRC funding	(800)	0	800
PPP Income funding programme of work	0	(1,120)	(1,120)
FCL Levy	(14,122)	(14,030)	92
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

13.4 Note that above there are two elements of the PAYT and one element of FCL.

## 14. Levy Setting

14.1 The breakdown of the Authority's costs into the three components identified in section 13 are the basis of the charging to boroughs. Each element is apportioned to boroughs in different ways.

1. PAYT (disposal) – Rates (£/tonne) for different materials which reflect the average prices paid to contractors, charged to boroughs initially on the basis of budgeted tonnes but then reconciled and adjusted (with rebate/charge) at the end of each quarter to reflect the actual tonnages.
2. PAYT (SERC) – this is the apportioned recharge of SERC costs (depreciation, financing etc) . The cost is initially apportioned and charged on the basis of budgeted tonnes then at the end of every quarter recalculated using the actual tonnage with any adjustment being rebated/charged to the borough.
3. FCL (fixed) – this is the recharge for all other costs (i.e. HRRC, overheads, an element of SERC costs etc) apportioned on the basis of boroughs tax base from their final approved CTB1 returns.

Details of these follow in the next two sections.

## 15 PAYT Levy Income

15.1 As identified above the PAYT is made up of two components and therefore the PAYT levy is too. Combined the PAYT levy will total £53.1 million (from the tables above £44.0 million plus £9.1 million).

15.2 The table below shows the proposed disposal rates for waste in 2023/24.

<b>Material (Disposal)</b>	<b>2022/23 £ per tonne</b>	<b>2023/24 £ per tonne</b>
Residual	101.09	109.76
Gully	57.31	67.31
Food	9.98	11.61
Green	40.00	40.94
Wood	43.54	67.67
Rubble	45.08	32.63
Soil	46.35	32.63
Mattresses (per mattress)	4.40	5.46

15.3 In addition to this, the Authority manages non-household waste from HRRC sites and incurs transport costs. On a similar basis the average transport charges are provided below.

<b>Material (Transport)</b>	<b>2022/23 £ per tonne</b>	<b>2023/24 £ per tonne</b>
Residual (collected)	11.26	12.22
Other recyclables (collected)	15.34	18.63

15.4 These rates represent the average cost (or estimated cost for contracts due to be procured) to the Authority for the disposal and transport of materials. They are the PAYT rates payable by boroughs in order to recover costs.

15.5 These rates are applied to the 2023/24 tonnage forecasts from boroughs and result in a monthly charge to them. Each quarter end a reconciliation exercise will take place to

adjust for the actual amount of waste that each borough delivers, so boroughs only pay for the volume of waste actually disposed.

15.6 Using tonnage forecasts from boroughs, the PAYT charges for 2023/24 are as follows:

<b>Borough</b>	<b>2022/23 PAYT disposal charge £000's</b>	<b>2023/24 PAYT disposal charge £000's</b>	<b>Increase / (decrease) £000's</b>
Brent	7,790	8,008	218
Ealing	8,159	8,920	761
Harrow	6,130	6,486	356
Hillingdon	8,169	8,583	414
Hounslow	6,799	7,048	249
Richmond	4,847	4,941	94
<b>Total</b>	<b>41,894</b>	<b>43,986</b>	<b>2,092</b>

15.7 The movement in the disposal costs of borough collected waste is reflected here.

15.8 It is worth repeating that should borough waste volumes be higher or lower than forecast, then each quarter boroughs will be charged or refunded a sum to ensure they pay only for what is actually delivered.

15.9 The second, PAYT (SERC) component relates to the £9.1 million SERC cost, equivalent to £24.43 per tonne (2022/23: £23.14). This will initially be apportioned and levied on the basis of 2023/24 budgeted residual waste tonnages excluding gully waste. A quarterly exercise will then adjust this sum to reflect the actual residual tonnages delivered that quarter with a reimbursement or additional charge. The initial apportioned annual charge is summarised below.

<b>Borough</b>	<b>2022/23 PAYT SERC charge £000's</b>	<b>2023/24 PAYT SERC charge £000's</b>	<b>Growth £000's</b>
Brent	1,646	1,710	64
Ealing	1,738	1,929	191
Harrow	1,239	1,342	103
Hillingdon	1,530	1,678	148
Hounslow	1,382	1,445	63
Richmond	1,001	996	(5)
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,536</b>	<b>9,100</b>	<b>564</b>

15.10 The movement in the proportion of SERC cost (depreciation, financing etc) relating to borough collections is reflected here.

## 16. FCL Income

16.1 The FCL charge primarily relates to the costs of managing the treatment and disposal of household waste delivered to HRRC sites. It also includes the Authority's administration and nets off other income. These costs are apportioned to the boroughs.

16.2 The apportionment calculation initially uses last year's provisional Council Tax base figures provided by the boroughs. However, when charging, the FCL costs will be apportioned using the final borough approved Council Tax base. Borough Council Tax base figures may not all be published in time for the January Authority meeting and therefore the FCL charges will be finalised shortly afterwards.

16.3 On this basis the draft FCL (fixed) charge is as follows:

<b>Borough</b>	<b>2022/23 FCL charge £000's</b>	<b>Estimated 2022/23 Council Tax base</b>	<b>2023/24 FCL charge £000's</b>	<b>Change £000's</b>
Brent	2,432	99,868	2,381	(51)
Ealing	2,589	118,649	2,829	240
Harrow	2,205	90,597	2,160	(45)
Hillingdon	2,503	103,840	2,476	(27)
Hounslow	2,174	86,769	2,069	(105)
Richmond	2,220	88,703	2,115	(105)
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,123</b>	<b>588,426</b>	<b>14,030</b>	<b>93</b>

16.4 The movement in all other costs including disposal and haulage of HRRC waste,

16.5 The FCL (fixed) sum will not change over the course of the year. The Authority bears any loss or surplus resulting from overspend or underspend.

## 17. Other Income

17.1 The 2023/24 budget is £2.5 million, which is a £0.4 million improvement on 2022/23 and reflect activity at slightly less than current levels to allow for some loss in revenue due to the economic circumstances.

17.2 The majority of the income is from trade waste (£1.9 million). The proposed main trade and construction charges per tonne at Abbey Road are unchanged to remain competitive and are provided below.

<b>Type of waste</b>	<b>2022/23 £</b>	<b>2023/24 £</b>
Trade waste residual and wood	160.00 for account customers and £165.00 for others	160.00 for account customers and £165.00 for others
Trade waste recycling	80.00	80.00
Asbestos (Households only)	272.00	272.00

Mattresses (per mattress)	15.00	15.00
Bulky items	218.00	218.00
Gas bottles from commercial sources	5.00	5.00
Fire extinguishers from commercial sources	5.00	5.00
Fridges from commercial sources	40.00	40.00

17.3 Changes and further charges may be introduced during the year in response to market conditions and where appropriate in consultation with LB Brent. It is recommended that delegated authority be given to the Finance Director to change charges in year should the need arise.

17.4 Other income includes an agency fee which passes on the costs of running the Abbey Road HRRC to the local borough. This is being maintained at current levels.

17.5 In July 2023 the PPP contractor will pay the Authority its share of income in relation to the PPP contract, principally electricity generation income.

17.6 At this stage, estimation of the sum due is inherent with market risks (electricity prices are volatile), political risks (detailed working of the windfall tax) and economic risks (the impact of industrial action on the power production). Nevertheless, it is likely that the Authority will see significant income (£9.4 million) if we assume ongoing electricity production and prices at the current levels and the introduction of a windfall tax.

17.7 On this basis the estimated distribution to boroughs on receipt of funds from the contractor will be in the region of £1 million each. This represents the two thirds pass through to boroughs of the estimated PPP income (in accordance with the Finance Strategy) which will be apportioned to each borough using the final approved council tax base.

17.8 The Authority is mindful of the challenging local authority finances so to help boroughs to budget for this income with greater certainty, the Authority is committing (through this budget) to the distribution and will utilise its reserves to manage the risks (section 19) of non-receipt on PPP income or receipts being lower than estimated. Any additional PPP income received will also be shared in accordance with the Finance Strategy.

## 18. Capital

18.1 The new capital budget requirements for 2023/24 are listed below:

- Victoria Road food and bulking shed £800,000
- West Drayton heating system improvements and window replacement (£150,000) to improve energy efficiency
- Abbey Road improvements (£122,000) including weighbridge scales, electric man gates, replacement netting, heating improvements, improvements to toilet and shower facilities.
- Upgrades to waste data management system (£80,000) and finance system (£20,000)

18.2 It is worth noting the following existing capital budgets. These are balances remaining on budgets for capital works still in progress/to be commenced, which were previously approved by the Authority and will be rolled forward until completion or eliminated if not required.

- Abbey Road improvements (£477,000) including CCTV fire & ANPR systems, mobile plant for bulky waste, shuttering/re-concrete of contamination bay, mechanical pallet mover, new main gate, electric van charging point, LED lighting and water service improvements
- £500,000 DMR and food recycling infrastructure at Abbey Road – increasing options for material contracts and improving recycling and diversion rates from residual treatment. This essentially upgrades and future proofs Abbey Road for EPR
- Circular economy hub solutions including shipping containers (£90,000)
- Victoria Road bulking facilities (£1,000,000) representing 50% of the capital budget to complete work to increase bulking and sorting capacity to enhance and localise material value and reduce whole system bulking costs.
- New weighbridge software (£20,000)
- Textile/Nappy collection facilities (£10,000)
- Resurfacing work at Transport Avenue and Victoria Road (£290,000)

## 19 Reserves

19.1 Reserves represent an organisations net worth. They provide a buffer for an organisation to manage risks, for example the fluctuations in the level of activity or costs – these variances in costs lead to surpluses and deficits being absorbed within reserves. On this basis, the Authority’s approach to reserves has been to build up sufficient reserves to act as a buffer against risk.

19.2 The added benefit of reserves is that they can be used to stabilise pricing by removing the need for “in year” price reviews. For boroughs and indeed the Authority, this pricing stability / predictability facilitates much better planning and budgetary control.

19.3 For 2023/24 the proposal for reserves is cautious given the economic climate and continuing uncertainties resulting from the pandemic.

19.4 Considering reserves in overall terms, identifying known material risks facing an Authority provides a useful basis for determining a suitable level of reserves for managing risk. The specific risks and potential costs and likelihood that could be associated with them are as follows:

<b>Risk Description</b>	<b>Mitigations</b>	<b>Likelihood</b>	<b>Financial Risk (£000’s)</b>	<b>Reserves to set aside (high 100, medium 75%, low 50%)</b>
The budget is based on assumptions of indexation/ inflation, particularly in relation to contracts. There is a risk of higher costs due to higher than anticipated	Use of reputable forecasts e.g. HM Treasury	High	£2,500 (representing approx. 5% of WTD costs)	£2,500



indexation/inflation, particularly the impact of utility prices and driver shortages on prices.				
Increased regulation of emissions from energy from waste facilities requiring one off installation of equipment	Contract terms	High	£2,000	£2,000
Borough FCL tonnages are higher than budgeted resulting in an under-recovery of HRRC disposal costs through the FCL charge which is fixed	Using data and working closely with borough colleagues to try and forecast tonnages accurately	Medium	£1,600 (based on residual FCL tonnages at 20% in excess of budgeted levels)	£1,200
Industrial action creating site and wasteflow disruption including	Contract terms, contractor business continuity plans and contingency arrangements, insurances	Medium	£950 representing 1 day per month disruption for 12 months effecting 650 tonnes of recycling per day needing to be sent to EfW @£110 disposal and £12 haulage	£710
The PPP income to pay to boroughs does not materialise e.g. electricity prices don't remain high and windfall tax	Contract terms	Low	£6,300	£3,150
Costs arising from the complex PPP contract as a result of terms that are unclear or ambiguous in relation to the day to day operation and running of services.	Team and professional advisors with experience and knowledge of detailed contract terms	Low	£1,000 (based on experience of contractual issues)	£500
With a large number of competitors ready to receive trade waste, there is a risk that price competition could lead to a reduction in planned trade and construction income despite more competitive	Ongoing monitoring of trade income and maintaining competitive pricing position in	Low	£500 (representing 20% of trade income)	£250

pricing	market place			
<b>Target level for reserves to manage risks</b>				<b>£10,310</b>

19.5 The target level of reserves for 2023/24 is £10.3 million and relates to the immediate risks relevant to 2023/24 year's activities. This compares to £9.2 million of risks in 2022/23. The main changes relate to the removal of longer term risks, updating of the PPP income risk and the inclusion of mitigations for emissions risk.

19.6 Ultimately, the level of reserves is a judgment based on the nature of risk facing an organisation and its risk appetite. On the basis of the risks identified above and appreciating that there are unknown risks which could materialise and that nothing is being set aside for longer term risks, the proposed level represents a prudent and not overly cautious target for reserves for 2023/24 activities.

19.7 The forecast reserve position for the year ending 31 March 2024 is:

	<b>Reserves to manage risks £000's</b>	<b>PPP Income £000s</b>	<b>Total Reserves £000s</b>
Total Reserves 31 March 2022	64,446	3,550	67,996
Less Revaluation Reserve (artificial gain/not realisable)	(52,732)	0	(52,732)
<b>Reserves 31 March 2022</b>	<b>11,714</b>	<b>3,550</b>	<b>15,264</b>
Forecast surplus 2022/23	8,405	0	8,405
PPP income share for boroughs	(6,300)	0	(6,300)
PPP income share for WLWA	(3,150)	3,150	0
<b>Reserves 31 March 2023</b>	<b>10,669</b>	<b>6,700</b>	<b>17,369</b>
PPP income committed for WLWA programme of work	0	(2,800)	(2,800)
Forecast surplus 2023/24	0	0	0
<b>Forecast position for 31 March 2024</b>	<b>10,669</b>	<b>3,900</b>	<b>14,569</b>

19.8 In the table above the reserves have been split out to provide transparency of the build up and use of reserves generated from PPP income. The above table shows the expected PPP income of £9.4 million being shared in accordance with the Finance Strategy. £6.3 million will be paid to boroughs following receipt of funds from the contractor (July 2023) and £3.1 million retained by the Authority.

19.9 Provided that no risks materialise the closing reserves balance to manage risks of £10.6 million is close to the target level of £10.3 million and there are no excess reserves for distribution to boroughs.

19.10 In comparison other London waste Authorities hold reserves ranging from £31 million to £73 million. This reflects the Authority's strong history of passing on excess reserves to boroughs.

19.11 The above table also shows the Authority's retained PPP income following the income sharing with boroughs in October 2022 and the anticipated receipt in July 2023. This totalled £6.7 million and as outlined in section 3, three programmes of work have been identified and £1.1 million will be utilised to deliver some of this work in 2023/24. A further £1.7 million will be utilised in the subsequent two years to reflect the total commitment of £2.8 million of funding to be met from PPP income.

## 20 Medium and Long Term Plan

20.1 The plan has been updated to incorporate the proposed budget and uses long term base case assumptions of 0.5% for the annual growth in residual tonnages to reflect population increases, and 3.0% for long term inflation long term inflation (2.0% was used last year) which is higher than the long term HM Treasury target of 2.0%.

20.2 The key outputs are as reported in December and the key messages from the plan are consistent with last year and are positive.

- The volume of residual waste is the key driver of spend/levies, so its reduction should be a key area of strategic focus e.g. removing food waste from the residual waste stream being an important opportunity
- The effect of inflation is dampened by the PPP contract
- The Authority will be debt free at the end of the plan and will maintain healthy cash balances to manage any liquidity risk

## 21 Borough Responses to Budget Consultation

21.1 The formal borough responses to the 2023-24 budget proposals from borough Finance Directors can be found in appendix 2. Responses were received from four boroughs and there were only two common themes (i.e. raised by 3 or more boroughs).

21.2 One common theme related to an interest in budgeted programmes of work funded from PPP income and in particular the plans and potential savings. The business cases and process are presently being developed in collaboration with borough Environment colleagues and an update will be provided to Finance Directors at their quarterly meeting in February.

21.3 The remaining common theme welcomed the Authority's initiative for anticipating and sharing PPP income.

## 22 Financial Implications

22.1 These are included in the report. It is a statutory requirement for the Authority to set a balanced budget (Local Government Finance Act 1992) and to set the levy for constituent boroughs by 15 February (Joint Waste Disposal Authorities (Levies) Regulations 2006).

## 23 Legal Implications

23.1 There are no legal implications of this report

Contact Officers	Jay Patel, Finance Director <a href="mailto:jaypatel@westlondonwaste.gov.uk">jaypatel@westlondonwaste.gov.uk</a> Ian O'Donnell, Treasurer <a href="mailto:ianodonnell@westlondonwaste.gov.uk">ianodonnell@westlondonwaste.gov.uk</a> Emma Beal, Managing Director <a href="mailto:emmabeal@westlondonwaste.gov.uk">emmabeal@westlondonwaste.gov.uk</a>	01895 54 55 11
------------------	---	----------------

## Appendix 1 – Finance Director responses to budget consultation



Resources Directorate, Finance Division  
Dawn Calvert, Director of Finance and Assurance

Ian O'Donnell  
Treasurer  
West London Waste Authority  
Unit 6 Britannia Court  
The Green  
West Drayton  
UB7 7PN

14<sup>th</sup> December 2022

Dear Ian

Thank you for your letter of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2022, which sought the views of constituent boroughs on the 2022/23 draft budget.

Given the financial challenges in our MTFS, I welcome the Authority's decision to include the anticipated PPP income in the 2023/24 budget. This provides a level of certainty of the disbursement that will be made to Harrow. It would also be helpful if the Authority could provide a reconciliation once the actual PPP income is confirmed. Any PPP income over and above the £6.3m budgeted should be considered as a further in-year disbursement to boroughs.

The budget proposes to set aside £2.8m of WLWA's share of PPP income for a programme of work to deliver carbon and financial savings to boroughs. Paragraph 2.8 refers to Appendix 2 showing the change required and the potential savings. Can this appendix be provided to see how each programme of work can financially benefit Harrow. A saving target is included in our MTFS from the reduction in waste disposal costs in 2024/25. To help us assess if the target is on track of being achieved, it would be helpful to provide Harrow with an early timeline of when the interventions will commence and the methodology of tracking performance and measuring success. A regular reporting process on the costs and savings of the programme should also be set up and implemented, so that each borough can see its return on these invest to save projects and the effectiveness of these.

In paragraph 2.9, the budget of £1.2m for HRRC project in 2022/23 is forecast to full spend. However, Harrow still has not received its share of funding to date. At our HRRC, there are proposed measures to improve diversion and accessibility with an aim to achieve a more effective and efficient use of the site. I urge that this funding is released to Harrow without any further delay.

---

The 2023/24 budget includes a breakdown of costs for the programme of work (paragraph 2.9). Of the £1.12m, £107k is allocated to Depreciation. Why is this budgeted to fund depreciation?

Section 15 sets out the disposal rates for waste in 2023/24. Comparing the cost per tonne for residual waste and food waste between the two financial years, 2023/24 rates show an increase of 9% and 16% respectively. These are significant increases which have resulted in an additional £459k in PAYT disposal cost for Harrow even though our residual waste tonnage forecast has reduced (55,653 in 2022/23 vs 54,929 in 2023/24). As suggested in Appendix 1, under the PPP contract, the effect of inflation on residual waste costs over the life of the contract should be dampened. However, this doesn't appear to be the case when comparing the movement of rates between 2022/23 and 2023/24.

I note that the latest budget forecast for 2022/23 identifies a surplus of £1.286m and this is being used to top up the reserves. The in-year surplus is generated by boroughs' levy payments, so I would ask the Authority to consider a reimbursement of this after the account has been finalised. In terms of setting aside reserves against risks, the Authority has used a methodology of 100% value for High risk item, 75% value for Medium risk item and 50% value for Low risk item. For example, a financial risk of £3.15m is allocated within the target level of reserves to mitigate against PPP income not being materialised, which is categorised as low likelihood of risk. If this risk does not materialise at 2023/24 year-end, the reserve should be released and the £3.15m disbursed to boroughs.

Yours sincerely,



Dawn Calvert  
Director of Finance & S151 Officer



Clive Palfreyman  
Executive Director of Finance & Resources

Chief Executive's Office  
Hounslow House  
7 Bath Road, Hounslow TW3 3EB

Mr. Jay Patel  
Finance Director  
(Deputy S73 Officer)  
West London Waste Authority

Your contact: Clive Palfreyman  
Direct Line: 020-8583- 6682  
E-Mail: [Clive.Palfreyman@hounslow.gov.uk](mailto:Clive.Palfreyman@hounslow.gov.uk)

Date 03<sup>rd</sup> January 2023

Dear Mr. Patel,

**Re: West London Waste Authority Budget and Levy for 2023-24**

Thank you for your email sent on the 06<sup>th</sup> December 2022 with the 2023-24 WLWA budget consultation letter and the draft WLWA budget report.

Firstly, we welcome the £1.5m disbursement paid to the Council in October 2022. This has allowed us to make provision to fund increases in service delivery costs and/or investment in our waste collection service.

We appreciate WLWA confirming a further PPP income share to the boroughs of £6.3m in July 2023. This reflects WLWA's effort to support boroughs' financial planning. We look forward to receiving our c£1m share of the PPP income in July 2023.

We note the 4% increase in overall levies for 2023-24. We understand this is mainly due to expected increases in costs in the 'Waste, Transport and Disposal cost' budget line. Despite WLWA's efforts to contain budget growth to 1.8% (£2.6m), this has caused an additional c£0.2m budget pressure for Hounslow for 2023-24 which will need to be funded from our share of the PPP income.

The 2023/24 WLWA proposal for reserves of £10.3m, in relation to immediate risks relevant to 2023/24 year's activities seems to be prudent and is acceptable.

We are keen to work with WLWA on the programme of work to deliver carbon and financial savings directly to the council over next three years. It will be beneficial to understand the potential savings that can be achieved by the Council to incorporate in our Financial Strategy.

We look forward to receiving regular budget delivery reports and hope to continue working collaboratively with WLWA during 2023.

Yours sincerely,

Clive Palfreyman  
Executive Director of Finance and Resources





Jay Patel  
Head of Finance, West London Waste Authority

c/o [jaypatel@westlondonwaste.gov.uk](mailto:jaypatel@westlondonwaste.gov.uk)

5<sup>th</sup> January 2023

Dear Jay,

**RE: West London Waste Authority (WLWA) Levy Consultation 2023/24**

Thank you for your email of 6<sup>th</sup> December 2022, which sought the views of constituent authorities on the 2023/24 draft budget and levy as outlined in the report.

Hillingdon welcomes the early announcement of the PPP income reimbursement due in July 2023 and that the overall increase in the WLWA budget has been contained with savings of £2,673k noted in the report at para 12.6.

Para 12.6 also references a £400k saving in other income relating to an increase in trade waste revenue (reflective of over-achievement vs. target this financial year), with para 17.2 advising that "the proposed main trade and construction charges per tonne at Abbey Road are unchanged to remain competitive". It would be useful to have some further clarification on this point as fees have not increased for a number of years. In this context it is noted that WLWA have a specific reserve to cover off "price competition" risks.

In relation to the three-year investment programme, it would be useful to understand whether boroughs will need to 'bid' for discrete elements of funding within each of the three workstreams and to understand more about the proposed plan for monitoring/accessing the business benefits of the investment programme.

On the more general issue of reserves Hillingdon accepts the principle of retaining reasonable levels of reserves to offset operational risks but would ask that such risks be reviewed

Finance Directorate  
T.01895 556071 F.01895 250871  
[pwhaymand@hillington.gov.uk](mailto:pwhaymand@hillington.gov.uk) [www.hillingdon.gov.uk](http://www.hillingdon.gov.uk)  
London Borough of Hillingdon,  
4N/08, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge, UB8 1UW



periodically during the year given that constituent authorities remain under significant financial pressure.

Yours sincerely,



Andy Evans  
Corporate Director of Finance

C.C. Cllr Eddie Lavery – Cabinet Member – Environment, Housing & Planning  
Perry Scott – Corporate Director of Place



Resources Directorate  
Mrs Fenella Merry  
Director of Resources & Deputy Chief Executive  
Phone: (020) 8871 5370  
Email: Fenella.Merry@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk



10<sup>th</sup> January 2023

Ian O'Donnell  
Treasurer  
West London Waste Authority  
Unit 6, Britannia Court,  
The Green,  
West Drayton,  
UB7 7PN

Dear Ian,

West London Waste Authority draft 2023/24 Budget

Thank you for your letter dated 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2022 seeking written views on the draft budget and levy for the forthcoming year. My comments are as follows:

**1) Use of Council Tax Base for Fixed Cost Levy apportionment**

Richmond would like to confirm that the approved Council Tax base for 2023/24 is 89,289.

**2) Fixed Cost Levy (FCL)**

In the 2023/24 draft report, table 16.3 uses the draft 2022/23 budget report FCL figures, and not the final 2022/23 budget report figures, and therefore the Change £000's column seems incorrect.

**3) Inflation**

We acknowledge that inflation for costs and levies growth is contained to 1.8% in the context of wider inflation running at 10.6%.

**4) PPP Income**

We recognise the planned distribution of PPP income to the boroughs in July 2023 and welcome the certainty around this. With electricity prices so volatile we note that reserves may be required to satisfy this promise but confirm that reserve levels seem prudent. Can you advise if the £3.9m PPP Income reserve is intended to be utilised for anything more specific than to guard against electricity price fluctuations? If the 'Reserves to manage risks' balance is forecast to be sufficient at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2024, what is the purpose of the reserve?

Many thanks for your assistance with these matters.

Yours Sincerely  
Fenella Merry  
Director of Resources and Deputy Chief Executive  
London Borough of Richmond Upon Thames