

SECTION 1 – MAJOR APPLICATIONS

LIST NO:	1/01	APPLICATION NO:	P3118/05/CFU
LOCATION:	375–379 Uxbridge Road, Hatch End		
APPLICANT:	Troy Homes Ltd		
PROPOSAL:	4 Storey Building Retail on Ground Floor, 12 Flats above/7 Houses in 3 Storey Terrace/4 Flats in 2-Storey Building, Access/Parking.		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.		

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reason:

- (i) The location of block C has been constructed a significant distance nearer to the boundary of the neighbouring properties in Cornwall Road abutting the site, to the detriment of the residential amenity and visual amenity of the residents thereof and giving rise to increased disturbance to the neighbouring rear gardens and properties.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to grant the application].

LIST NO:	1/02	APPLICATION NO:	P41/06/CFU/DT2
LOCATION:	Raebarn House, 86-100 Northolt Road, South Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Bennett Urban Planning for St James Group Ltd		
PROPOSAL:	Redevelopment to Provide Part Four – to Part Eight-Storey Building to Comprise 150 Flats, 1740 Sq M of Commercial Floor Space, Access and Parking.		
DECISION:	REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, for the following reasons:		
	(i) The proposal represents an overdevelopment of the site by reason of excessive density to the detriment of the amenities of the future occupiers of the properties and the infrastructure and amenities of the roads and properties in the surrounding area.		
	(ii) The parking provision is inadequate, affording less than half a space per dwelling, to the detriment of the residential amenities of the properties in the surrounding area, resulting in overspill parking in the roads within walking distance of the site.		
	(iii) The proposal offers no amenity space, to the detriment of the residential amenities of the future occupiers of the residential properties.		
	(iv) The poor design and appearance of the proposal will be visually obtrusive in the street scene by reason of the mass, bulk and scale of the development when viewed from Northolt Road and surrounding roads.		
	(v) The proposal does not sufficiently take into account the character and landscape of the locality and does not achieve a satisfactory relationship with the adjoining buildings and spaces, giving rise to a loss of residential amenity to the occupiers in Osmond Close.		

[Notes: (1) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Branch, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted for the decision to refuse the application;

(2) Councillors Choudhury, Idaikkadar and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to refuse the application;

(3) Councillors Bluston and Miles wished to be recorded as having abstained from voting;

(4) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted].

LIST NO:	1/03	APPLICATION NO:	P2911/05/CDP/DT2
LOCATION:	Edgware Football Club, Burnt Oak Broadway, Edgware		
APPLICANT:	Cornerstone Architects Ltd for Edgware Developments Ltd		
PROPOSAL:	Outline: Redevelopment to Provide 164 Flats and 11 Houses in 9 Blocks, Access Roads, Parking and Open Space		
DECISION:	DEFERRED for Member site visit. (See also Minute 1113, 1120 and 1131)		

LIST NO:	1/04	APPLICATION NO:	P2714/05/CDP
LOCATION:	Corner of Scott Crescent and Drinkwater Road, Rayners Lane Estate		
APPLICANT:	Mepk Architects for Warden Housing		
PROPOSAL:	Details of Siting, Design, Appearance, Access and Landscaping for 4 Storey Block of 16 Flats.		
DECISION:	APPROVED the particular details in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.		

LIST NO:	1/05	APPLICATION NO:	P/2543/05/CFU
LOCATION:	Trinity Church Harrow, 89 Hindes Road, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	JBKS Architects for Trinity Church Harrow		
PROPOSAL:	Redevelopment of Church Hall to Provide New Church Hall and Ancillary Facilities		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported. (See also Minute 1113)		

LIST NO:	1/06	APPLICATION NO:	P/3196/05/COU
LOCATION:	The Flying Eagle Public House, Edgware		
APPLICANT:	DWA Architects Ltd for Lukka Care Homes Ltd		
PROPOSAL:	Redevelopment: Part 2/Part 3 Storey Residential Care Home with 75 Bedspaces, Parking and Service Area.		
DECISION:	REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, for the reasons and informative reported. (See also Minute 1113)		

LIST NO: 1/07 **APPLICATION NO:** P/3146/05/CFU
LOCATION: 91, 93 & 95 West End Lane, Pinner
APPLICANT: Howard, Fairbairn & Partners for Eaton Residential Developments
PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: Detached 2/3 Storey Building to Provide 14 Flats with Basement Parking.
DECISION: DEFERRED at officer's request for further consideration of impact on setting of nearby Listed Buildings.
(See also Minute 1113)

LIST NO: 1/08 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2672/05/COU
LOCATION: Cavendish House (Argonaut House), 369-389 Burnt Oak Broadway, Edgware
APPLICANT: Dalton Warner David for Homeguard Properties Ltd
PROPOSAL: Outline: Erection of Two Storey Office Block (Outline Application, Siting and Access to be Determined)
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 1/09 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2972/05/CFU/RP1
LOCATION: 168-172 Honeypot Lane, Stanmore
APPLICANT: Indigo Planning for UK & European Investments Ltd
PROPOSAL: Redevelopment to Provide Detached Building for Use as a Builders Merchants, Access, Parking and Service Areas
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

SECTION 2 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR GRANT

LIST NO: 2/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2677/05/CFU
LOCATION: The Lodge, Canons Park, 101 Donnefield Avenue, Edgware
APPLICANT: Bennett Urban Planning for Metropolitan Police Harrow
PROPOSAL: Change of Use from Residential (C3) to Police Office (B1) and Alterations Including Replacement Windows and Air Conditioning Units
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 2/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2477/05/CFU
LOCATION: Cornerways, 13 South View Road, Pinner
APPLICANT: Orchard Associates for Mr & Mrs Watkinson
PROPOSAL: Detached Garage
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 2/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2337/05/CFU

LOCATION: Site Adjoining 3 West Drive Gardens, Harrow

APPLICANT: Fidler Associates for Mr N Nagle

PROPOSAL: Two Storey Detached House (Revised)

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, for the following reasons:

(i) The design and appearance of the proposal will be detrimental to the visual and residential amenities of the neighbouring properties by reason of the scale and siting of the proposed house.

[Notes: (1) Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, which were noted;

(2) there was no indication that a representative of the applicant was present and wished to respond;

(3) the decision to refuse the application was unanimous;

(4) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted].

(See also Minute 1120)

LIST NO: 2/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2149/05/CFU

LOCATION: South Wind, 1 South View Road, Pinner

APPLICANT: Mr M S Kalsi for Dr S Patel

PROPOSAL: Part Single/Part 2 Storey Side and Rear Extension, Creation of Part Basement

DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 2/05 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2545/05/CFU

LOCATION: 21-40 Canons Park Close, Donnefield Avenue, Edgware

APPLICANT: David Kann Associates for Ember Homes Ltd

PROPOSAL: Additional Floor on Building to Provide 8 Flats, One Detached House, Frontage Parking and Removal of Garage and Alterations

DECISION: (1) REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, for the following reason:

(i) Car parking cannot be satisfactorily provided within the curtilage of the site to meet the Council's requirement for the development, and the likely increase in parking on the neighbouring highway(s) would be detrimental to the free flow and safety of traffic on the neighbouring highways(s) and the amenity of neighbouring residents.

(2) RESOLVED that a reference be made to the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel requesting an investigation into traffic problems in this area.

[Notes: (1) Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, and the applicant's representative, which were noted;

(2) during the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reasons:

- (i) Car parking cannot be satisfactorily provided within the curtilage of the site to meet the Council's requirement for the development, and the likely increase in parking on the neighbouring highway(s) would be detrimental to the free flow and safety of traffic on the neighbouring highways(s) and the amenity of neighbouring residents.
- (ii) The proposal would be an overdevelopment of the site by reason of a disproportionate relationship between buildings and spaces that would have an unacceptable effect on the symmetry of the two buildings forming Canons Park Close and would be detrimental to the appearance and character of the area.
- (iii) The proposed development by reason of unsatisfactory design and excessive scale would detract from the character and appearance of the adjacent Canons Park Estate Conservation Area.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) subsequently, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the one reason stated in (i) above; upon being put to a vote this was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Bluston, Branch Choudhury, Janet Cowan, Idaikkadar, Miles, Mrs Joyce Nickolay and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted to refuse the application;

(5) the Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous;

(6) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted].

(See also Minute 1113 and 1120)

LIST NO: 2/06 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2580/05/CVA
LOCATION: Village Inn, 402-408 Rayners Lane, Pinner
APPLICANT: Hepher Dixon Ltd for J D Wetherspoon PLC
PROPOSAL: Variation of Condition 6 of Permission LBH/45486 to Allow Opening Sun-Thurs 09.00 – 00.30, Fri & Sat 09.00-01.00, and Extended Opening on Special Days.
DECISION: GRANTED variation in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition and informative reported.
(See also Minute 1113)

LIST NO: 2/07 **APPLICATION NO:** P2626/05/DFU
LOCATION: 33 Rutland Road, Harrow
APPLICANT: ARH Associates – S Finley for Lenad Investments Ltd
PROPOSAL: Alterations and Conversion to Two Self-Contained Flats
DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, for the following reason:

- (i) The proposal offers no off street parking provision which will give rise to overspill parking to the detriment of the residential amenities of the neighbouring properties.

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reasons:

- (i) The proposal offers no off street parking provision which will give rise to overspill parking to the detriment of the residential amenities of the neighbouring properties.
- (ii) The proposal represents an over intensive use of the property to the detriment of the amenities of the neighbouring residents by reason of the activity and disturbance associated with a conversion of a single family dwelling into two separate units.
- (iii) There are no detailed drawings or plans demonstrating how the four wheelie bins and green boxes are to be stored, creating uncertainty as to how refuse storage will be accommodated on a small forecourt area in conjunction with the appropriate landscaping that would be required.
- (iv) There are no detailed drawings setting out how disabled access to the property would be provided, contrary to policy H18 of the HUDP and the final draft Accessible Homes SPD.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) subsequently, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the one reason stated in (i) above; upon being put to a vote this was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Bluston, Branch Choudhury, Janet Cowan, Idaikkadar, Miles, Mrs Joyce Nickolay and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted to refuse the application;

(5) the Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous;

(6) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted].

LIST NO:	2/08	APPLICATION NO:	P/2407/05/CLB
LOCATION:	Grims Dyke Hotel – Stable Bock, 59 Old Redding, Harrow Weald		
APPLICANT:	Farrell & Co for Grims Dyke Hotel		
PROPOSAL:	Listed Building Consent: Retention of Two Rooflights on South West Elevation		
DECISION:	GRANTED Listed Building consent in accordance with the works described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the informative reported.		
	(See also Minute 1113)		

LIST NO:	2/09	APPLICATION NO:	P/3072/05/CFU
LOCATION:	Pinnerwood Lodge, 5 Woodhall Road, Pinner		
APPLICANT:	Mr Manmohan N Nandhra for Mr & Mrs M Arpino		
PROPOSAL:	Single Storey Side Extension		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informative reported.		

LIST NO: 2/10 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2540/05/DFU
LOCATION: 23 Nolton Place, Edgware
APPLICANT: Mr V Sarkari
PROPOSAL: 2 Storey Side to Rear, Single Storey Front and Rear Extensions, Rear Dormer, Conversion to 2 Self-Contained Flats.
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

LIST NO: 2/11 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2709/05/DFU
LOCATION: 22 Greenhill Road, Harrow
APPLICANT: David R Yeaman & Associates for Mr S Watson
PROPOSAL: Rear Dormer, Alterations and Conversion of Dwellinghouse to Three Self-Contained Flats (Resident Permit Restricted)
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reasons:

- (i) The proposal represents an over intensification of the property to the detriment of the amenities of the neighbouring residents by reason of the activity and disturbance associated with a conversion of a single family dwelling into three separate units.
- (ii) There is no amenity space provided for the first and second floor flats to the detriment of the future occupiers of the properties.
- (iii) There are no detailed drawings or plans setting out how disabled access to the property would be provided, contrary to policy H18 of the HUDP and the final draft Accessible Homes SPD.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickoley wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to grant the application].

LIST NO: 2/12 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2689/05/DFU
LOCATION: Park View, 14 Mount Park Road, Harrow
APPLICANT: Paul Archer Design for Bobby Anand
PROPOSAL: Front and Rear Dormers: Alterations to Side and Rear Elevations
DECISION: DEFERRED for further consideration of impact on Conservation Area.

LIST NO: 2/13 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2942/05/DFU
LOCATION: 30 Whitehall Road, Harrow
APPLICANT: Serg Saddal for Kathie Parkinson
PROPOSAL: Single Storey Rear Extension; Rear Dormer
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.

LIST NO: 2/14 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2712/05/DFU
LOCATION: 4 Aylwards Rise, Stanmore
APPLICANT: Mario L Maestranzi for Mr & Mrs Shah
PROPOSAL: Replacement Two Storey Detached House with Detached Garage
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

[Notes: (1) Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, and the applicant's representative, which were noted;

(2) during the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be deferred for a Member site visit; upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having abstained from the vote on the substantive motion].

(See also Minute 1112 and 1120)

LIST NO: 2/15 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2765/05/DFU
LOCATION: 102 High Street, Harrow on the Hill
APPLICANT: DPG Development Consultants for Mr T Harriss
PROPOSAL: 1 Metre High Metal Railings and Gate at Front
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

(See Minute 1113)

LIST NO: 2/16 **APPLICATION NO:** P/3015/05/CFU
LOCATION: Red Corners, 9 Brookshill Drive, Harrow
APPLICANT: Kenneth W Reed & Assocs. For Jon Kempner
PROPOSAL: Redevelopment to Provide Replacement Detached 2/3 Storey House with Integral Garage
DECISION: DEFERRED for further consideration of impact on Conservation Area.

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reason:

(i) The proposal would be detrimental to the character of the Conservation Area and Area of Special Character by reason of the bulk and height of the roofline which would not preserve or enhance the Conservation Area located within the Green Belt.

(3) subsequently, it was moved and seconded that the application be deferred for further consideration of impact on the Conservation Area; upon being put to a vote this was carried;

(4) the proposal to refuse the application was withdrawn].

LIST NO: 2/17 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2035/05/CFU
LOCATION: Land R/O 2 Maple Avenue and 56-58 Eastcote Lane, South Harrow
APPLICANT: A Lambert for Ian J Tait Ltd
PROPOSAL: Construction of 2 Storey Terrace of 4 Houses, Access and Parking
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.
[Note: Councillors Bluston and Choudhury wished to be recorded as having abstained from voting].
(See also Minute 1112)

LIST NO: 2/18 **APPLICATION NO:** P/29/06/CFU
LOCATION: 85 & 87 London Road, Stanmore
APPLICANT: Mr R Henley for Preston Bennett Developments
PROPOSAL: Redevelopment to Provide a Detached 2/3 Storey Block of 9 Flats, Access and Parking
DECISION: GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reasons:

- (i) The proposed development, by reason of bulk and massing would be visually obtrusive and out of keeping with the character of the neighbouring properties which comprise mainly two storey detached properties, to the detriment of those properties, character of the area and the amenities of the neighbouring residents.
- (ii) The contemporary design of the front elevation would be at odds with its surroundings and visually obtrusive and incongruous in the street scene to the detriment of the amenities of the neighbouring residents and character of the area.
- (iii) The proposed flatted development would be out of character in a part of the London Road that is characterised by single detached family dwellings and would therefore be at odds with the character of this section of the road.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(3) the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried;

(4) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to grant the application].

LIST NO: 2/19 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2896/05/DFU
LOCATION: 6 Georgian Way, Harrow
APPLICANT: Robin G Benyon for Larksworth Investments Ltd
PROPOSAL: Alterations and First Floor Rear Extension; Enlarge Roof, Rear Dormer and Raise Height, Single Storey Side Extension
DECISION: DEFERRED for a Member site visit.

[Notes: (1) Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, and the applicant's representative, which were noted;

(2) during the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reason:

(i) The proposed roof enlargement, by reason of its prominent siting, additional height and pitch, would appear unduly bulky and discordant when viewed from this part of Georgian Way, to the detriment of the visual amenity and character of the locality.

(3) subsequently, it was moved and seconded that the application be deferred for a Member site visit; upon being put to a vote this was carried;

(4) the proposal to refuse the application was withdrawn].

(See also Minute 1112, 1113, 1120 and 1131)

LIST NO:	2/20	APPLICATION NO:	P/2625/05/CFU
LOCATION:	34 Brookshill Avenue, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Anglian Home Improvements for Mr D Brand		
PROPOSAL:	Rear Conservatory		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.		

LIST NO:	2/21	APPLICATION NO:	P/2953/05/CFU
LOCATION:	East End Farm, Moss Lane, Pinner		
APPLICANT:	Foundation Architecture for Mr & Mrs B Leaver		
PROPOSAL:	Conversion of Barns A and B to Family Dwellinghouse with Integral Garage and External Alterations		
DECISION:	(1) DEFERRED for consideration by the Committee at its next meeting;		
	(2) RESOLVED that officers be requested to submit a confidential report on Barn C for consideration by the Committee at its next meeting.		
	[Note: Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, and the applicant's representative, which were noted].		
	(See also Minute 1113 and 1120)		

LIST NO:	2/22	APPLICATION NO:	P/2954/05/CLB
LOCATION:	East End Farm, Moss Lane, Pinner		
APPLICANT:	Foundation Architecture for Mr & Mrs B Leaver		
PROPOSAL:	Listed Building Consent: Conversion of Barns A and B to Single Family Dwellinghouse with Integral Garage and External and Internal Alterations and Repairs to Barn C.		
DECISION:	(1) DEFERRED for consideration by the Committee at its next meeting;		
	(2) RESOLVED that officers be requested to submit a report on Barn C for consideration by the Committee at its next meeting.		

[Note: Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received representations from an objector, and the applicant's representative, which were noted].

(See also Minute 1113 and 1120)

LIST NO:	2/23	APPLICATION NO:	P/2985/05/CFU
LOCATION:	61 Hindes Road, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Journeaux Studio Ltd for Mr & Mrs Journeaux		
PROPOSAL:	Change of Use from Nursing Home/Hostel to 4 Self-Contained Flats; Conservatory to Rear & Other Minor External Alterations (Resident Permit Restricted)		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, and the following additional condition: <u>Condition 8</u> Landscape to be Implemented.		

LIST NO:	2/24	APPLICATION NO:	P/2984/05/CFU
LOCATION:	63-65 Hindes Road, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Journeaux Studio Ltd for Mr & Mrs N Journeaux		
PROPOSAL:	Change of Use from Nursing Home/Hostel to 8 Self-Contained Flats and Other Minor External Alterations (Resident Permit Restricted).		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the Addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, and the following additional condition: <u>Condition 7</u> Landscape to be Implemented.		

SECTION 3 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR REFUSAL

LIST NO:	3/01	APPLICATION NO:	P/2869/05/DFU
LOCATION:	6 & 8 Langland Crescent, Stanmore		
APPLICANT:	K H Hirani for N H Hirani & K K Hirani		
PROPOSAL:	Single Storey Rear Extension to Both Houses		
DECISION:	REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason and informatives reported.		

LIST NO:	3/02	APPLICATION NO:	P/2979/05/DVA
LOCATION:	Golden Sip, 496-500 Northolt Road, South Harrow		
APPLICANT:	G M Simister for S S Mukhal		
PROPOSAL:	Variation of Condition 5 of Planning Permission on Appeal T/APP/M5450/A/95/261745/P7 (Relating to 496 Northolt Road) to Permit Opening 08.00 Hours to 01.30 Hrs Sunday to Thursday and 08.00 Hours to 02.30 Hours Friday to Saturday		

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the variation described in the application and submitted plans for the reason and informatives reported.

(See also Minute 1113)

LIST NO: 3/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/3031/05/DVA

LOCATION: 203 Marsh Road, Pinner

APPLICANT: Turgay Ogretici

PROPOSAL: Variation of Condition 1 of Planning Permission on Appeal T/APP/M5450/A/95/287434/P7 to Permit Opening 12.00 to 00.00 Hours Monday to Thursday; 12:00 to 01:00 Hours Fridays and Saturdays and 13.00 to 00:00 Hours on Sundays.

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the variation described in the application and submitted plans for the reason and informative reported.

(See also Minute 1113)

LIST NO: 3/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2989/05/DCO

LOCATION: Broomhill, Mount Park Road, Harrow on the Hill

APPLICANT: The Bell Cornwell Partnership for Elizabeth Smith

PROPOSAL: Retention of Gates (with Alterations) to North Side Boundary Fronting Mount Park Road

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason and informative reported.

[Notes: (1) The Committee noted that page 7 of the Addendum contained an error in that 3/04 should read 3/05;

(2) the Chair, Councillor Anne Whitehead, having declared an interest in this item and left the room, the Vice-Chair, Councillor Marilyn Ashton, took the Chair;

(3) at the conclusion of this item, Councillor Anne Whitehead resumed the Chair].

(See also Minute 1112 and 1113)

LIST NO: 3/05 **APPLICATION NO:** P/18/06/CFU

LOCATION: 7 The Avenue, Hatch End

APPLICANT: Apcar Smith Planning for Westholt Management Ltd

PROPOSAL: 3 Storey Building to Provide 7 Flats and 2 Storey Building at Rear to Provide 2 Flats

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.

[Note: The Committee noted that page 7 of the Addendum contained an error in that 3/04 should read 3/05].

SECTION 5 – PRIOR APPROVAL APPLICATIONS

LIST NO: 5/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/209/06/CDT

LOCATION: Land Opposite 88 Eastcote Road, Pinner

APPLICANT: Mason D Telecom for Orange PCS Ltd

PROPOSAL: Erection of 12M Telecom Mast in Form of Telegraph Pole with Cabinet at Ground Level

DECISION: (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of siting and appearance was required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the following reason:

(i) The proposal, by reason of excessive size and unsatisfactory siting, would be visually obtrusive and unduly prominent to the detriment of the amenity of local residents and the visual amenity of the surrounding locality.

[Notes: (1) During discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that prior approval of siting and appearance was required, and that the application be refused. Upon being put to a vote, this was carried;

(2) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that prior approval of siting and appearance was not required].

LIST NO: 5/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/350/06/CDT

LOCATION: Land at Junction of St Thomas Drive and Uxbridge Road, Hatch End

APPLICANT: Mason D Telecom

PROPOSAL: Erection of 12M Telecom Mats in Form of Telegraph Pole with Equipment Cabinet at Ground Level

DECISION: (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of siting and appearance was required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the reason reported.

LIST NO: 5/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/294/06/CDT

LOCATION: Land at Marsh Road, Pinner

APPLICANT: Mason D Telecom for Orange PCS Ltd

PROPOSAL: Erection of 12M Telecom Mast in Form of Telegraph Pole and 1 Equipment Cabinet at Ground Level

DECISION: (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of siting and appearance was required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the reason reported.

LIST NO: 5/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/208/06/CDT

LOCATION: Land Opposite Greenhill Service Station, Pinner

APPLICANT: Waldon Telecom Ltd for 02

PROPOSAL: Erection of 12.5M High Telecom 'Slimline' Column and Equipment Cabinet at Ground Level

DECISION: (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of siting and appearance was required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the reason reported.
