

# **Cabinet Supplemental Agenda**

**Date: Thursday 17 November 2022**

**Publication date: Wednesday 9 November 2022**

**KEY 9. Harrow Strategic Development Partnership (HSDP) - Review and Progress (Pages 1 - 3)**

Report of the Corporate Director of Place.

Scan this code for the electronic agenda:



## **LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW**

### **CABINET – 17 NOVEMBER 2022**

#### **REFERENCE FROM OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – 8 NOVEMBER 2022**

#### **HARROW STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP (HSDP)**

Following a brief introduction by the Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration Councillor Marilyn Ashton, the Committee received the report of the Corporate Director, Place which set out review results and progress on the HSDP.

Members asked questions as follows:

- A Member questioned if homes for private sale were freehold or leasehold and if any thought had been given to present financial difficulties and people obtaining mortgages to purchase these homes in the future. The Interim Director for Commercial Development responded that the flats would have a 250-year lease and there was no intention to transfer the freehold but with the houses, the freehold would be transferred. He confirmed that current issues had been considered and the partnership would look to take advantage of whatever products may be available to help in the future. Mortgage difficulties would not help the sales rate which was important to the HSDP. However, the sales were not due to take place for some time and by then the situation may be different.
- A Member questioned about the availability of grant funding for Phases two and three of Grange Farm. Alison Pegg, the Director for Regeneration responded that there was no grant funding. The Housing Infrastructure Funding (HIF), which was available to the Council as long as the whole development continued, had been paid. Phases two and three would be funded entirely by the Council.
- A Member questioned if vacant properties in phase three of Grange Farm would be used as temporary accommodation as was done in phase one and the Director for Regeneration confirmed that phase three properties would be used as temporary accommodation for as long as possible, and there were plans to enable people currently living in phase two temporary accommodation to move to phase three so they could continue living in Harrow.
- Members questioned about the availability of public parking on the site for the Town Hall and the possibility of hiring out the council chamber and the large committee rooms to generate income for the Council. Interim Director for Commercial Development confirmed that 60 car parking spaces were intended to be dedicated to the Town Hall. It was early in the design process and there were a number of options available to the Council which would be considered in terms of what would go on site including car parking, subject to consideration by the planners. The Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration stated that the construction of the Town Hall so that the building that could balance being a democratic hub and also be flexible enough to be hired out to generate income for the Council was an option.

- Members welcomed the fact that a decision had been made about the Peel Road site and the removal of the Civic Centre as the decision had been pending for many years. They also welcomed the proposal for the location of the Town Hall as they felt it would make the Town Hall a focal point and be a boost for both businesses and residents.
- A member questioned whether efforts would be made to market the homes for private sale to local residents. The Interim Director for Commercial Development confirmed that in the first period, the initial marketing would be targeted at local residents. It was subsequently confirmed to the Committee that this period was three months. It was also confirmed that the definition of local connection for this purpose was *'living, working, have family living within LB Harrow or have a connection with LB Harrow acceptable to the Council.'*
- A Member questioned if any homes were reserved for local residents that work in the public sector and essential services and Interim Director for Commercial Development responded that at present there was no prioritisation for public sector or essential services workers as affordable housing at Grange Farm was initially for the residents who were moving from demolished, homes and those on the local housing register, and there were no plans for additional prioritisation of market sale homes. Alison Pegg, the Head of Housing Regeneration confirmed that where the Council markets shared ownership properties priority is given to those groups
- A Member questioned about the impact of development of a car park such as the loss of parking to businesses and residents and reduction in parking revenue. The Interim Director for Commercial Development responded that there would be loss of car parking as a result of the proposed developments, but as had previously been discussed at the Committee parking at the Greenhill way site may be more defensible than at other centres, having regard of course to the London Plan. The site would need to be looked at as a whole and discussion take place.
- A Member questioned about the time scales for the development of Green Hill Way and the certainty of delivery. The Interim Director for Commercial Development explained that at this stage the timetable was indicative. The Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration Councillor Marilyn Ashton stated that there was a commitment from the present administration that it would be built in a timely manner.

The Committee then excluded the press and public for the part 2 discussion of Appendix 1 – Grange Farm Business Plan. Members asked questions.

The chair thanked the Interim Director for Commercial Development for the report and the following three recommendations were proposed.

- **Proposed Recommendation 1**

That Cabinet consider the early prioritisation of public sector workers in the allocation of new affordable homes or the marketing of homes for sale. This was put to the vote and was declared lost.

- **Proposed Recommendation 2**

That Cabinet review and attempt to maximise available car parking spaces on the development. This was put to vote and declared lost.

- **Proposed Recommendation 3**

That Cabinet consider the production of a report on the economic impact of the proposals for the Green Hill Way site. This was put to the vote and declared **passed**.

**RESOLVED:** That the Cabinet consider the production of a report on the economic impact of the proposals for the Green Hill Way site.

## **FOR CONSIDERATION**

### Background Documents:

Draft minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee – November 2022

### Contact Officer:

Kenny Uzodike, Senior Democratic and Electoral Services Officer  
Email: [kenny.uzodike@harrow.gov.uk](mailto:kenny.uzodike@harrow.gov.uk)